

longer of any interest to domestic interested parties. We conclude that there is no interest in an antidumping duty order when no interested party has requested an administrative review for five consecutive review periods and when no domestic interested party objects to revocation (19 CFR 353.25(d)(4)(iii)).

On August 1, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register (60 FR 39153) its notice of intent to revoke the antidumping duty order on high capacity pagers from Japan (August 16, 1983). Additionally, as required by 19 CFR § 353.25(d)(4)(ii), the Department served written notice of its intent to revoke this antidumping duty order on each domestic interested party on the service list. Domestic interested parties who might object to the revocation were provided the opportunity to submit their comments not later than the last day of the anniversary month.

In this case, we received no requests for review for five consecutive review periods. Furthermore, no domestic interested party, as defined under § 353.2(k)(3), (k)(4), (k)(5), or (k)(6) of the Department's regulations, has expressed opposition to revocation. Based on these facts, we have concluded that the antidumping duty order on high capacity pagers from Japan is no longer of any interest to interested parties. Accordingly, we are revoking this antidumping duty order in accordance with 19 CFR 353.25(d)(4)(iii).

#### Scope of the Order

Imports covered by the revocation are shipments of high capacity pagers from Japan. This merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedules (HTS) item numbers 8527.90.80 and 8531.80.00. The HTS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

This revocation applies to all unliquidated entries of high capacity pagers from Japan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after August 1, 1995. Entries made during the period August 1, 1994, through July 31, 1995, will be subject to automatic assessment in accordance with 19 CFR § 353.22(e). The Department will instruct the Customs Service to proceed with liquidation of all unliquidated entries of this merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after August 1, without regard to antidumping duties, and to refund any estimated antidumping duties collected with respect to those entries. This notice is in accordance with 19 CFR 353.25(d).

Dated: November 2, 1995.  
Joseph A. Spetrini,  
*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.*  
[FR Doc. 95-28088 Filed 11-13-95; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### [A-833-803]

#### **Titanium Sponge From Georgia, Revocation of the Antidumping Finding**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of revocation of antidumping finding.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public of its revocation of the antidumping finding on titanium sponge from Georgia because it is no longer of any interest to domestic interested parties.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 14, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Genovese or Michael Panfeld, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone (202) 482-4697.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

The Department may revoke an antidumping finding if the Secretary concludes that the finding is no longer of any interest to domestic interested parties. We conclude that there is no interest in an antidumping finding when no interested party has requested an administrative review for five consecutive review periods and when no domestic interested party objects to revocation (19 CFR § 353.25(d)(4)(iii)).

On August 1, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register (60 FR 39153) its notice of intent to revoke the antidumping finding on titanium sponge from Georgia (August 28, 1968). Additionally, as required by 19 CFR § 353.25(d)(4)(ii), the Department served written notice of its intent to revoke this antidumping finding on each domestic interested party on the service list. Domestic interested parties who might object to the revocation were provided the opportunity to submit their comments not later than the last day of the anniversary month.

In this case, we received no requests for review for five consecutive review periods. Furthermore, no domestic interested party, as defined under § 353.2 (k)(3), (k)(4), (k)(5), or (k)(6) of the Department's regulations, has

expressed opposition to revocation. Based on these facts, we have concluded that the antidumping finding on titanium sponge from Georgia is no longer of any interest to interested parties. Accordingly, we are revoking this antidumping finding in accordance with 19 CFR § 353.25(d)(4)(iii).

#### Scope of the Order

Imports covered by the revocation are shipments of titanium sponge from Georgia. This merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedules (HTS) item number 8108.10.50.10. The HTS number is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

This revocation applies to all unliquidated entries of titanium sponge from Georgia entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after August 1, 1995. Entries made during the period August 1, 1994, through July 31, 1995, will be subject to automatic assessment in accordance with 19 CFR § 353.22(e). The Department will instruct the Customs Service to proceed with liquidation of all unliquidated entries of this merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after August 1, 1995, without regard to antidumping duties, and to refund any estimated antidumping duties collected with respect to those entries. This notice is in accordance with 19 CFR § 353.25(d).

Dated: November 2, 1995.  
Joseph A. Spetrini,  
*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.*  
[FR Doc. 95-28090 Filed 11-13-95; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### [C-122-404]

#### **Live Swine From Canada; Amended Final Results of Administrative Review in Accordance With Decision on Remand**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of amended final results of countervailing duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On September 27, 1995, the Binational North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) Panel ("Panel") affirmed the Department of Commerce's ("the Department") remand results of the sixth administrative review of the countervailing duty order on live swine from Canada, as amended. The Panel also approved the Department's Consent

Notice of Motion Requesting Termination of Panel Review With Respect to Weanlings, and the Request of Pryme for an individual review. On October 10, 1995, the NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, provided a Notice of Final Panel Action in this proceeding. As a result, the Department is amending the final results of the sixth administrative review of the countervailing duty order on Live Swine from Canada for purposes of the entries subject to the Panel's review and for cash deposit purposes.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 14, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephanie Moore or Maria MacKay, Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-2786.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On March 16, 1994 (59 FR 12243), the Department published the final results of its sixth administrative review of the countervailing duty order on Live Swine from Canada covering the period from April 1, 1990 through March 31, 1991. These results were challenged by the Canadian Pork Council, Pryme Pork, Ltd. ("Pryme"), Earle Baxter Trucking LQ., and P. Quintaine & Son, Ltd. before a Binational Panel pursuant to Article 1904 of the NAFTA and 19 U.S.C. § 1516a(g). Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1516a(g)(5)(C), P. Quintaine & Son, Ltd., Earle Baxter Trucking LQ., and Pryme requested that the Department continue suspension of liquidation of entries covered by the sixth administrative review.

The Panel issued its decision on May 30, 1995, and therein remanded for certain issues to the Department for reconsideration. Live Swine from Canada, USA-94-1904-01. In particular, the Panel directed the Department to reinstate the subclass for sows and boars, and to calculate a separate countervailing duty (CVD) rate for sows and boars.

Pursuant to this remand order, the Department submitted to the Panel its final results of redetermination on August 14, 1995, which reinstated the sows and boars subclass and provided a separate CVD rate for sows and boars. In addition, the Department filed, pursuant to Rule 71(2), a Consent Notice of Motion Requesting Termination of Panel Review With Respect to Weanlings and

the Request of Pryme for an Individual Review.

After discovering a ministerial error in its calculations, the Department submitted amended final results of redetermination on September 1, 1995, in which it found the countervailing duty rate for sows and boars to be *de minimis*.

On September 27, 1995, the Panel affirmed the Department's remand results, as amended, and approved the Consent Notice of Motion. Based upon the Panel's order affirming the Department's determination on remand, the Department has established a countervailing duty rate for sows and boars and has recalculated the countervailing duty rate for all other Live Swine from Canada for purposes of the entries for which liquidation was suspended subject to the Panel's review. In addition, the Department has assigned a *de minimis* CVD rate to Pryme, pursuant to the Consent Notice of Motion.

The subsidy rates contained herein are those determined by the Department in its final remand results, as amended. They are: for sows and boars, CAN\$0.0036 per kilogram. This rate is *de minimis*. For all live swine, other than sows and boars, CAN\$0.0296 per kilogram.

**Amended Final Results of Review**

The Department will instruct the Customs Service to liquidate without regard to countervailing duties all shipments of live swine from Canada produced by Pryme Pork, Ltd. exported on or after April 1, 1990 and on or before March 31, 1991. In addition, the Department will instruct the Customs Service to liquidate entries of merchandise produced/exported by P. Quintaine & Son, Ltd., and Earle Baxter Trucking LQ., exported on or after April 1, 1990 and on or before March 31, 1991 as follows: to liquidate without regard to countervailing duties all shipments of sows and boars and to assess CAN\$0.0296 per kilogram on entries of all other live swine.

The Department will also instruct the Customs Service to collect a cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties of zero on all shipments of live swine from Canada produced by Pryme Pork, Ltd. entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice. Furthermore, the Department will instruct the Customs Service to collect a cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties of zero on all shipments of sows and boars from Canada and CAN\$0.0296 per kilogram on shipments of all other live swine

from Canada entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This amended notice of final results is published in accordance with 19 U.S.C. § 1675(a)(1), 19 U.S.C. § 1516a(g)(5)(B), 19 CFR § 355.22 and 19 CFR § 356.8(a).

Dated: November 6, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,  
Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-28081 Filed 11-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**Columbia University, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments**

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

**Comments:** None received. **Decision:** Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as each is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

**Docket Number:** 95-011. **Applicant:** Columbia University in the City of New York, New York, NY 10027. **Instrument:** High Energy Xenon Flashlamp System, Model XF-10. **Manufacturer:** Hi-Tech Scientific, United Kingdom. **Intended Use:** See notice at 60 FR 13700, March 14, 1995. **Reasons:** The foreign instrument provides: (1) stored electrical energy to 340J, (2) anti-reflection coated quartz optics for focusing, and (3) optical/electrical shielding. **Advice Received From:** National Institutes of Health, April 28, 1995.

**Docket Number:** 95-013. **Applicant:** University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801. **Instrument:** Eye Tracking System, Model EYELINK. **Manufacturer:** SR Research Ltd., Canada. **Intended Use:** See notice at 60 FR 16619, March 31, 1995. **Reasons:** The foreign instrument provides: (1) a sampling rate of 250 Hz, (2) spatial resolution of eye position to 0.005 and (3) real-time detection of saccades as small as 0.3° over a horizontal range of ± 30° and a vertical